

Heat content, torsional rigidity and generalized Hardy inequalities for complete Riemannian manifolds

Michiel van den Berg

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol,
University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, U.K.
M.vandenBerg@bris.ac.uk

Peter B. Gilkey

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon,
Eugene, Oregon 97403, U.S.A.
gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu

Abstract

We obtain upper bounds on the heat content and on the torsional rigidity of a complete Riemannian manifold M , assuming a generalized Hardy inequality for the Dirichlet Laplacian on M .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 35K05, 58G25, 60J65.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported in part by the ESF. The second author was supported in part by the NSF (U.S.A.). Both authors wish to thank the Mittag-Leffler Institute for its hospitality in the fall of 2002. The first author wishes thank E.B. Davies for some useful discussions.

1 Introduction

Let M be a complete C^∞ Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M , and let $-\Delta$ be the Dirichlet Laplacian on M . In this paper we investigate the heat content of M if M has uniform initial temperature one, while ∂M is kept at temperature zero for all time t . Let $u : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the unique weak solution of

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad x \in M, \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = 1, \quad x \in M. \quad (2)$$

The heat content $Q_M(t)$ is defined by

$$Q_M(t) = \int_M u(x; t) dx. \quad (3)$$

It is well known that if M is compact and ∂M is C^∞ then there exists an asymptotic series for the heat content of the form

$$Q_M(t) = \sum_{j=0}^J b_j t^{j/2} + O(t^{(J+1)/2}), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

where $J \in \mathbb{N}$, and where the coefficients are locally computable invariants of M . In particular,

$$b_0 = \int_M 1 \, dx = \text{vol}(M), \quad (5)$$

$$b_1 = -\frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_{\partial M} 1 \, dy = -\frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \text{area}(\partial M). \quad (6)$$

For details we refer to [4, 5].

In this paper we are concerned with the non-classical situation, where M is complete but non-compact. We shall be concerned with the setting where either M itself has infinite volume or where M has finite volume and ∂M has infinite area. We recall the following [3].

Let M be the closure of an open set M_0 in Euclidean space with boundary $\partial M = M \setminus M_0$. For $x \in M_0$ we define the distance in direction u , $|u| = 1$, by

$$d_u(x) = \min\{|t| : x + tu \in \partial M\}, \quad (7)$$

and the mean distance function $\rho : M_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2(x)} = \frac{1}{\text{area}(S_{m-1})} \int_{S_{m-1}} \frac{du}{d_u^2(x)}, \quad (8)$$

where S_{m-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Theorem 3.4 in [3] asserts that if

$$\rho^2(x) = o(\log(1 + |x|^2))^{-1}, |x| \rightarrow \infty, x \in M_0 \quad (9)$$

then M has finite heat content for all positive t . However, no upper bounds for the heat content in terms of t were obtained in this general situation.

In this paper we obtain bounds for the heat content for a wide class of C^∞ complete Riemannian manifolds assuming a generalized Hardy inequality.

Let $\delta : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denote the distance to the boundary function on M :

$$\delta(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \partial M\}, \quad (10)$$

where $d(x, y)$ is the Riemannian distance between x and y . We say that $-\Delta$ satisfies a generalized Hardy inequality if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2]$ such that

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{c}{\delta^\gamma}, \quad (11)$$

in the sense of quadratic forms.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a complete C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and suppose that (11) holds for some $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2]$. Suppose there exists $\beta \in (0, 2\gamma]$ such that*

$$\int_M \delta^\beta(x) dx < \infty. \quad (12)$$

Then for all $t > 0$

$$Q_M(t) \leq \left(\frac{(\beta + \gamma)^2}{2e\beta\gamma c} \right)^{\beta/\gamma} \left(\int_M \delta^\beta(x) dx \right) t^{-\beta/\gamma}. \quad (13)$$

Theorem 2. *Let M be a complete C^∞ Riemannian manifold with finite volume, and suppose that (11) holds for some $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2]$. Then for all $t > 0$*

$$Q_M(t) \leq \text{vol}(M) - 4^{-1} \int_{\{x \in M : \delta(x) < (2ct)^{1/\gamma}\}} 1 \, dx. \quad (14)$$

The Hardy inequality (11) guarantees that the boundary ∂M is not too thin, and that sufficient cooling of M_0 near ∂M will take place. Condition (12) in Theorem 1 guarantees that M does not have too much measure away from ∂M . Both the validity and applications of inequalities like (11) to spectral theory have been investigated in depth [8-11, 13].

Remark 3. *If M_0 is simply connected in $\mathbb{R}^2$ then (11) holds with $\gamma = 2$ and $c = 1/16$. If M_0 is convex in $\mathbb{R}^m$ then (11) holds with $\gamma = 2$ and $c = 1/4$ [8, 9].*

For open sets M_0 in $\mathbb{R}^m$ it was shown (Theorem 1.5.3 in [8]) that

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{m}{4\rho^2}, \quad (15)$$

in the sense of quadratic forms. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 together with (15) give the following.

Corollary 4. *Let M be the closure of an open set M_0 in Euclidean space with boundary $\partial M = M \setminus M_0$. Suppose there exists $\beta \in (0, 4]$ such that*

$$\int_M \rho^\beta(x) dx < \infty. \quad (16)$$

Then for all $t > 0$

$$Q_M(t) \leq \left(\frac{(\beta + 2)^2}{e\beta m} \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\int_M \rho^\beta(x) dx \right) t^{-\beta/2}. \quad (17)$$

Corollary 5. *Let M be the closure of an open set M_0 in Euclidean space with boundary $\partial M = M \setminus M_0$, and with finite volume. Then for all $t > 0$*

$$Q_M(t) \leq \text{vol}(M) - 4^{-1} \int_{\{x \in M : \rho(x) < (mt/2)^{1/2}\}} 1 \, dx, \quad (18)$$

Let $p_M(x, y; t)$, $x \in M$, $y \in M$, $t > 0$ be the Dirichlet heat kernel for M . We say that M has finite torsional rigidity P_M if

$$P_M = \int_0^\infty \int_M \int_M p_M(x, y; t) dx dy dt < \infty. \quad (19)$$

Let M_0 be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. It is well known that if M_0 has finite volume then M has finite torsional rigidity. However, the converse is not true. In [1] we showed that if $M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies (11) for $\gamma = 2$ and some $c > 0$, then P_M is finite if and only if (12) holds with $\beta = 2$. Since the solution of (1-2) with Dirichlet boundary conditions on ∂M is given by

$$u(x; t) = \int_M p_M(x, y; t) dy, \quad (20)$$

it follows that

$$Q_M(t) = \int_M \int_M p_M(x, y; t) dx dy, \quad (21)$$

and

$$P_M = \int_0^\infty Q_M(t) dt. \quad (22)$$

Theorem 1 gives the following.

Corollary 6. *Suppose M is a complete C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and suppose that (11) holds for some $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2]$. Suppose there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (12) holds for all $\beta \in (\gamma - \varepsilon, \gamma + \varepsilon)$. Then M has finite torsional rigidity.*

The proof of Corollary 6 is elementary. We bound $Q_M(t)$ for small t by (13) with $\beta = \gamma - \varepsilon/2$, and for large t by (13) with $\beta = \gamma + \varepsilon/2$. Similarly one can show that for open sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$, P_M is finite if (16) holds for all β in some neighbourhood $\beta = 2$. The following result is an improvement.

Theorem 7. *Let M be the closure of an open set M_0 in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with boundary $\partial M = M \setminus M_0$. Suppose that $\int_M \rho^2(x) dx < \infty$. Then*

$$P_M \leq \frac{4}{m} \int_M \rho^2(x) dx. \quad (23)$$

In Lemma 2.6 of [3] it was shown that if $M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is such that $Q_M(t)$ is finite for all $t > 0$ then $\text{trace}(e^{t\Delta})$ is finite for all $t > 0$. In the more general setting of complete C^∞ Riemannian manifolds we have the following.

Corollary 8. *Let M be a complete C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and suppose that (11) holds for some $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2]$. Suppose (12) holds for some $\beta \in (0, 2\gamma]$, and suppose there exists a function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$p_M(x, x; t) \leq g(t), \quad x \in M. \quad (24)$$

Then for all $t > 0$

$$\text{trace}(e^{t\Delta}) = \int_M p_M(x, x; t) dx \leq g(t/2) Q_M(t/2) < \infty. \quad (25)$$

Sufficient conditions on the geometry of M which guarantee the uniform bound (24) were obtained by several authors (Section 6 in [12] and the references therein).

We conclude this introduction with an example to show that Theorems 1 and 2 are close to being sharp.

Example 9. *Let $M(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by*

$$M(\alpha) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : \xi_1 \geq 0, |\xi_2| \leq (\xi_1 + 1)^{-\alpha}\}, \quad (26)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant.

Since $M(\alpha)$ is simply connected, we have by Remark 3 that (11) holds with $\gamma = 2$ and $c = \frac{1}{16}$. $M(\alpha)$ has infinite volume if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$. Estimate (12) holds in this case if and only if $\beta > \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$. We can choose $\beta \in (0, 4]$ if and only if $\alpha > \frac{1}{5}$. We conclude by Theorem 1 that for $\frac{1}{5} < \alpha \leq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$Q_{M(\alpha)}(t) \leq K_1 t^{(\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)-\varepsilon}, \quad t > 0, \quad (27)$$

where K_1 is a finite positive constant depending on α and ε respectively.

Theorem 2 gives that for $\alpha > 1$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} (\text{vol}(M(\alpha) - Q_{M(\alpha)}(t)) t^{(1-\alpha)/(2\alpha)}) \geq K_2, \quad (28)$$

where K_2 is a strictly positive constant depending on α . The precise asymptotic behaviour of $Q_{M(\alpha)}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ has been computed in [2]. The results in [2] show for example that (27) holds for all $0 < \alpha < 1$ with $\varepsilon = 0$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let Δ be the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(M)$, and let $u : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the unique weak solution of (1) with initial condition

$$u(x; 0) = f(x), \quad x \in M, \quad (29)$$

where $f : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is bounded and measurable. Then

$$u = e^{t\Delta} f, \quad (30)$$

with integral representation

$$u(x; t) = \int_M p_M(x, y; t) f(y) dy. \quad (31)$$

We let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $\{f_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ to be a family of C^∞ functions on M such that $0 \leq f_\varepsilon \leq 1$, f_ε is monotone increasing as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \delta(x) < \varepsilon, \\ 1, & 2\varepsilon \leq \delta(x). \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

It follows by the maximum principle (Section 2.4 in [12]) that

$$\int_M p_M(x, y; t) dy \leq 1. \quad (33)$$

By Fubini's theorem and (33) we conclude that the unique solution u_ε satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx &= \int_M \int_M p(x, y; t) f_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \\
&\leq \int_M f_\varepsilon(y) dy \leq \int_{\{x \in M: \delta(x) \geq \varepsilon\}} 1 dx \\
&\leq \int_{\{x \in M: \delta^\beta(x) \geq \varepsilon^\beta\}} \varepsilon^{-\beta} \delta^\beta(x) \leq \varepsilon^{-\beta} \int_M \delta^\beta(x) dx < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Let $p \geq 3/2$. By the maximum principle we have that $0 \leq u_\varepsilon \leq 1$. Hence $u_\varepsilon^{2p-2} \leq u_\varepsilon$, and

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^{2p-2}(x; t) dx \leq \int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx. \tag{35}$$

Hence, by Fubini's theorem, Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (35)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| -\frac{d}{dt} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) dx \right| &= p \left| \int_M u_\varepsilon^{p-1}(x; t) \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x; t) dx \right| \\
&\leq p \left\{ \int_M u_\varepsilon^{2p-2}(x; t) dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_M \left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x; t) \right)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq p \left\{ \int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_M \left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x; t) \right)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x; t) \right)^2 dx &= \langle \Delta e^{t\Delta} f_\varepsilon, \Delta e^{t\Delta} f_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle (\Delta e^{t\Delta/2}) e^{t\Delta/2} f_\varepsilon, (\Delta e^{t\Delta/2}) e^{t\Delta/2} f_\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and since $\Delta e^{t\Delta/2}$ is a bounded operator, bounded by $2/(et)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x; t) \right)^2 dx &\leq \frac{4}{e^2 t^2} \langle e^{t\Delta/2} f_\varepsilon, e^{t\Delta/2} f_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{4}{e^2 t^2} \langle e^{t\Delta} f_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{4}{e^2 t^2} \langle e^{t\Delta} f_\varepsilon, 1 \rangle \\
&= \frac{4}{e^2 t^2} \int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

By (36-38) we conclude that we have the estimate

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) dx \leq \frac{2p}{et} \int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx. \quad (39)$$

We use Fubini's theorem, integration by parts, and the generalized Hardy inequality (11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) dx &= -p \int_M u_\varepsilon^{p-1}(x; t) \Delta u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx \\ &= p(p-1) \int_M u_\varepsilon^{p-2}(x; t) (\nabla u_\varepsilon(x; t))^2 dx \\ &= \frac{4(p-1)}{p} \int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{p/2}(x; t)|^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{4(p-1)c}{p} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Combining the estimates of (39) and (40) yields

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) \geq \frac{2(p-1)ect}{p^2} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx. \quad (41)$$

By (34) the left hand side of (41) is finite and this implies that the right hand side of (41) is finite as well. By Hölder's inequality

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx \leq \left\{ \int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_M \delta(x)^{\gamma/(p-1)} dx \right\}^{(p-1)/p}. \quad (42)$$

Since $\beta \in (0, 2\gamma]$ by the hypothesis in Theorem 1 the choice

$$p = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \quad (43)$$

guarantees that $p \geq 3/2$. Then $\delta^{\gamma/(p-1)}$ is integrable by (12). By (41) and (42)

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^p(x; t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx \leq \left(\frac{p^2}{2(p-1)ect} \right)^{p/(p-1)} \int_M \delta(x)^{\gamma/(p-1)} dx. \quad (44)$$

Substitution of (44) into (42) then results into

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx \leq \left(\frac{p^2}{2(p-1)ect} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \int_M \delta(x)^{\gamma/(p-1)} dx, \quad (45)$$

and a further substitution of (43) into (45) gives

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) dx \leq \left(\frac{(\beta + \gamma)^2}{2e\beta\gamma ct} \right)^{\beta/\gamma} \int_M \delta^\beta(x) dx. \quad (46)$$

Since the right hand side of (46) is independent of ε we have by Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} Q_M(t) &= \int_M (\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(x; t)) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_M u_\varepsilon(x; t) \leq \left(\frac{(\beta + \gamma)^2}{2e\beta\gamma ct} \right)^{\beta/\gamma} \int_M \delta^\beta(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $f = 1$ in (29), and note that

$$Q_M(2t) = \langle e^{2t\Delta} 1, 1 \rangle = \langle e^{t\Delta} 1, e^{t\Delta} 1 \rangle = \int_M u^2(x; t) dx. \quad (48)$$

Hence (40) for $p = 2$ gives

$$-\frac{d}{dt} Q_M(2t) \geq 2c \int_M u^2(x; t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx. \quad (49)$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to t over $[0, t]$ yields by Fubini's theorem

$$\text{vol}(M) - Q_M(2t) \geq 2c \int_M dx \int_0^t u^2(x; \tau) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) d\tau. \quad (50)$$

It follows, by the maximum principle, that $u(x; \tau) \geq u(x; 2t)$ for all $0 \leq \tau \leq t$. Hence

$$\text{vol}(M) - Q_M(2t) \geq 2ct \int_M u^2(x; 2t) \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx. \quad (51)$$

Let $u = 1 - v$. We use the definition given in (3) and we use equation (51) to see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M v(x; t) dx &\geq ct \int_M (1 - v(x; t))^2 \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx \\ &\geq ct \int_{\{x \in M: \delta(x) < \varepsilon\}} (1 - v(x; t))^2 \delta^{-\gamma}(x) dx \\ &\geq ct \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \int_{\{x \in M: \delta(x) < \varepsilon\}} (1 - 2v(x; t)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

It follows that

$$(1 + 2ct\varepsilon^{-\gamma}) \int_M v(x; t) dx \geq ct\varepsilon^{-\gamma} \int_{\{x \in M : \delta(x) < \varepsilon\}} 1 \, dx. \quad (53)$$

The choice

$$\varepsilon = (2ct)^{1/\gamma} \quad (54)$$

in (53) completes the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

To prove Corollaries 4 and 5 respectively we note that (15) holds for open sets $M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We follow the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 respectively by replacing δ by ρ , c by $m/4$ and γ by 2 throughout.

To prove Corollary 8 we note that by (5.2) in Section 5.1 of [12] and (24)

$$p_M(x, y; t) \leq (p_M(x, x; t)p_M(y, y; t))^{1/2} \leq g(t). \quad (55)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M p_M(x, x; t) dx &= \int_M \int_M p_M^2(x, y; t/2) dx dy \\ &\leq g(t/2) \int_M \int_M p_M(x, y; t/2) dx dy \leq g(t/2) Q_M(t/2) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 7

The proof of Theorem 7 is based on a couple of lemmas which are of independent interest, and which are related to results on the expected life time of h -conditioned Brownian motion [6, 7].

Lemma 10. *Let M_0 be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 3$. Suppose that for all $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\text{vol}(\{x \in M_0 : \delta(x) > \varepsilon\}) < \infty. \quad (57)$$

Let $\{f_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then

$$-\Delta w = f_\varepsilon, \quad (58)$$

has a unique, weak, bounded and non-negative solution w_ε with

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \frac{m}{4\pi(m-2)} \text{vol}\{x \in M : \delta(x) > \varepsilon\}^{2/m}. \quad (59)$$

Proof. Put

$$M_\varepsilon = \{x \in M : \delta(x) > \varepsilon\}. \quad (60)$$

Since $\delta \leq \rho$, (69) implies that $\int_M \delta^2(x) dx < \infty$. Then the last three inequalities in the right hand side of (34) for $\beta = 2$ imply $\text{vol}(M_\varepsilon) < \infty$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since $(-\Delta)^{-1}$ has integral kernel

$$\int_0^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt \quad (61)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_M \int_0^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt f_\varepsilon(y) dy \\ &= \int_{M_\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt f_\varepsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{M_\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt dy. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

By positivity of the Dirichlet heat Kernel

$$\int_{M_\varepsilon} p_M(x, y; t) dy \leq \int_M p_M(x, y; t) dy \leq 1. \quad (63)$$

Hence for $t_0 > 0$ we have by Fubini's theorem

$$\int_{M_\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_0} p_M(x, y; t) dt dy \leq t_0. \quad (64)$$

Moreover, by monotonicity of the Dirichlet heat Kernel

$$p_M(x, y; t) \leq (4\pi t)^{-m/2}. \quad (65)$$

Hence for $m \geq 3$

$$\int_{M_\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt dy \leq (4\pi)^{-m/2} \frac{2}{m-2} t_0^{1-m/2} \text{vol}(M_\varepsilon). \quad (66)$$

By (64) and (66) we conclude that

$$w_\varepsilon(x) \leq t_0 + (4\pi)^{-m/2} \frac{2}{m-2} t_0^{1-m/2} \text{vol}(M_\varepsilon). \quad (67)$$

We minimize the right hand side of (67) by setting

$$t_0 = (4\pi)^{-1} (\text{vol}(M_\varepsilon))^{2/m}, \quad (68)$$

and (59) now follows. $\square$

Lemma 11. *Let M_0 be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose that*

$$\int_M \rho^2(x) dx < \infty. \quad (69)$$

Let $\{f_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ be as in the Proof of Theorem 1. Then (58) has a unique, weak, bounded and non-negative solution w_ε with

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \left(\frac{8}{\pi} \text{vol}(M_\varepsilon) \int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (70)$$

Proof. Let

$$\lambda = \inf \text{spec}(-\Delta). \quad (71)$$

Then by (15) we have for any smooth function u with compact support in M_0 , and with $\|u\|_2 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \|u\|_2^2 &\leq \left(\int_M \left(\frac{u(x)}{\rho(x)} \right)^2 dx \int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(2 \int_M |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Taking the infimum over all such u we obtain by (69) and (72)

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{-1} > 0. \quad (73)$$

To prove Lemma 11 we have by domain monotonicity

$$p_M(x, x; t) \leq e^{-t\lambda/2} p_M(x, x; t/2) \leq (2\pi t)^{-1} e^{-t\lambda/2}, \quad (74)$$

and hence by (55)

$$p_M(x, y; t) \leq (2\pi t)^{-1} e^{-t\lambda/2}. \quad (75)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 10 we have the estimates (62-64). Estimate (66) is replaced, using (75), by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^\infty p_M(x, y; t) dt dy &\leq \int_{M_\varepsilon} 1 dy \int_{t_0}^\infty (2\pi t)^{-1} e^{-t\lambda/2} dt \\ &= (\pi t_0 \lambda)^{-1} \text{vol}(M_\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

By (62-64) and (76)

$$w_\varepsilon(x) \leq t_0 + (\pi t_0 \lambda)^{-1} \text{vol}(M_\varepsilon). \quad (77)$$

We minimize the right hand side of (77) by setting

$$t_0 = (\text{vol}(M_\varepsilon)/(\pi\lambda))^{1/2}.$$

We then substitute the lower bound for λ in (73) to obtain (70). $\square$

We can now give the proof of Theorem 7. We choose the family $\{f_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ as in the proof of the Theorem 1. Since we have assumed that $\int_M \rho^2(x)dx < \infty$ the support of f_ε has finite volume. Since $0 \leq f_\varepsilon \leq 1$ and since $\|w_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \infty$ (by Lemmas 10 and 11) we have that $w_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon$ is integrable on M . By (58), an integration by parts and (15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \int_M w_\varepsilon(x) f_\varepsilon(x) dx &\geq - \int_M w_\varepsilon(x) \Delta w_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_M |\nabla w_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{m}{4} \int_M w_\varepsilon^2(x) \rho^{-2}(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

By assumption $\int_M \rho^2(x)dx < \infty$. Hence by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M w_\varepsilon(x) f_\varepsilon(x) dx &\leq \left(\int_M \frac{w_\varepsilon^2(x) f_\varepsilon^2(x)}{\rho^2(x)} dx \int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_M w_\varepsilon^2(x) \rho^{-2}(x) dx \int_M \rho^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

By (78) and (79)

$$\int_M w_\varepsilon^2(x) \rho^{-2}(x) dx \leq \frac{16}{m^2} \int_M \rho^2(x) dx, \quad (80)$$

and by (79) and (80)

$$\int_M w_\varepsilon(x) f_\varepsilon(x) dx \leq \frac{4}{m} \int_M \rho^2(x) dx. \quad (81)$$

By the first equality in (62), (81) and Fubini's theorem

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M \int_M p_M(x, y; t) f_\varepsilon(x) f_\varepsilon(y) dx dy dt \leq \frac{4}{m} \int_M \rho^2(x) dx. \quad (82)$$

Since f_ε is increasing as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M \int_M p_M(x, y; t) dx dy dt \leq \frac{4}{m} \int_M \rho^2(x) dx. \quad (83)$$

But this is the conclusion of Theorem 7 by definition (22). $\square$

References

- [1] R. BAÑUELOS, M. VAN DEN BERG, T. CARROLL, *Torsional rigidity and expected lifetime of Brownian motion*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2002) 499–512.
- [2] M. VAN DEN BERG, *Heat content asymptotics for planar regions with cusps*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **57** (1998) 677–693.
- [3] M. VAN DEN BERG, E.B. DAVIES, *Heat flow out of regions in $\mathbb{R}^m$* , Math. Zeit. **202** (1989) 463–482.
- [4] M. VAN DEN BERG, P.B. GILKEY, *Heat content asymptotics of a Riemannian manifold with boundary*, J. Funct. Anal. **120** (1994) 48–71.
- [5] M. VAN DEN BERG, P.B. GILKEY, *The heat equation with inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Comm. Analysis and Geometry **7** (1999) 279–294.
- [6] M. CRANSTON, T.R. MCCONNEL, *The lifetime of conditioned Brownian motion*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **65** (1983) 1–11.
- [7] M. CRANSTON, *Lifetime of conditioned Brownian motion in Lipschitz domains*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **70** (1985) 335–340.
- [8] E.B. DAVIES, *Heat Kernels and spectral theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **92**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [9] E.B. DAVIES, *A review of Hardy inequalities*, Operator Theory Adv. Appl. **110** (1999) 55–67.
- [10] E.B. DAVIES, *Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **129** (2000) 165–178.
- [11] E.B. DAVIES, B. SIMON, *Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984) 335–395.
- [12] A. GRIGORYAN, *Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds*, Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh 1998), 140–225, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **273**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [13] M. LIANANTONAKIS, *On the eigenvalue counting function for weighted Laplace-Beltrami operators*, J. Geom. Anal. **10** (2000) 299–322.

- [14] G. PÓLYA, G. SZEGÖ, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.